

EXHIBIT E

From: [Pohl, Caroline F.](#)
To: [Daniel Zaheer](#); [Kim Kennedy](#); [Lanham, John R.](#)
Cc: [Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit](#); [WalkMe KK](#)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation
Date: Friday, May 31, 2024 10:57:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Daniel,

Thank you for the call regarding WalkMe's confidentiality designations.

Regarding the SAC and its exhibits, we explained that WalkMe failed to timely preserve its AEO designations when it did not file a motion to retain confidentiality pursuant to the PO. We also explained that Whatfix has consistently maintained the designations are improper and has not withdrawn its objection. (See 4/18/24 Lanham email.) While we believe we have a right to share that information with our client under the PO, we agreed to refrain from sharing the material if WalkMe filed a motion to retain confidentiality by June 7. We will allow WalkMe to file this motion by June 10. As we explained, we maintain that WalkMe has waived its designation by not timely filing a motion to preserve confidentiality.

Regarding the production of WalkMe videos, KKWM000000001 – KKWM000000060, we stated that the production should be down-designated to Confidential. WalkMe disagreed. The parties did agree that paragraph 7.3(e) of the Protective Order permits Whatfix to show these videos to individuals who WalkMe alleges had access to it. (Dkt. No. 119 at 11.)

Regarding the production of WalkMe files that appear to be associated with WalkMe's trade secrets claim regarding former employees, KKWM000000061 – KKWM000000398, we agreed to suspend our challenge pending the Court's determination of whether the allegations about former WalkMe employees will move forward. The parties also agreed that paragraph 7.3(e) of the Protective Order permits Whatfix to share these files with the former WalkMe or customer employees who are alleged to have accessed them.

Best regards,
Caroline

Caroline Pohl
Associate
12531 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, CA 92130
T +1 (858) 910-3399

**|||ORRISON
FOERSTER**

[mofo.com](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>

Sent: Friday, May 31, 2024 9:55 AM

To: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>; Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>

Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

External Email

John, Caroline:

Following up on our discussion just now, WalkMe disagrees strongly with Whatfix's waiver argument and would consider it a serious breach of the protective order if Whatfix were to share any designated material with anyone not permitted to see AEO information. As discussed, WalkMe does plan to file a motion for protection of the information. Given that the MTD hearing is scheduled for the date you proposed for us to file the motion (June 7), we would request that Whatfix agree that we can get the motion on file by the following business day, June 10. Of course, we would agree that your consent to that day would not be taken as any sort of concession on your positions on waiver or on any other related matter. We are only asking that you agree to preserve the status quo for one additional business day.

Regards,

Daniel

Daniel Zaheer
+1 415 582 4751

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

This e-mail message is from Kobre & Kim LLP, a limited liability partnership established under the laws of New York, referred to above as Kobre & Kim, and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-

mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your computer without retaining a copy.

From: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 7:43 PM
To: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Would either 9am or 11am or later work? We can't do 10am.

Kim

Kim Kennedy
+1 415 582 4782

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

From: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 7:16 PM
To: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Hi Kim,

Would 10 a.m. on Friday work? I can circulate an invite.

Best regards,
Caroline

Caroline Pohl
Associate
12531 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, CA 92130
T +1 (858) 910-3399



mofo.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 6:43 PM
To: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

External Email

We are not available on Thursday – is there a time that would work for you on Friday?

Best,
Kim

Kim Kennedy
+1 415 582 4782

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

This e-mail message is from Kobre & Kim LLP, a limited liability partnership established under the laws of New York, referred to above as Kobre & Kim, and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your computer without retaining a copy.

From: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 6:24 PM

To: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>

Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Hi Kim,

We have a conflict at 3 p.m. tomorrow. We are available Thursday morning. Please let us know if there is a time that will work then.

Best regards,
Caroline

Caroline Pohl

Associate
12531 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, CA 92130
T +1 (858) 910-3399

**MORRISON
FOERSTER**

mofo.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 4:32 PM

To: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>

Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

External Email

Caroline,

We are available to meet and confer at 3pm PT tomorrow. Does that work for Whatfix?

Best,
Kim

Kim Kennedy
+1 415 582 4782

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

This e-mail message is from Kobre & Kim LLP, a limited liability partnership established under the laws of New York, referred to above as Kobre & Kim, and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your computer without retaining a copy.

From: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 12:10 PM

To: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>

Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Kim,

Whatfix never withdrew its objections and WalkMe is still inappropriately asserting AEO designations over the operative complaint, including as to almost all of Exhibit A to the SAC. Using protective order designations as a delay tactic to prevent our clients from assessing the allegations against them is inappropriate. WalkMe's approach has deprived us of our ability to confer with our client regarding the Motion to Dismiss. The time for WalkMe to file a motion to maintain confidentiality of the SAC and Exhibits has already expired.

We also object to WalkMe's recent designation of certain WalkMe videos and documents as AEO. (See K. Kennedy to J. Lanham (May 22, 2024); K. Kennedy to J. Lanham (May 23, 2024).) This designation limits our ability to discuss the alleged misconduct with our clients and respond to WalkMe's discovery. Whatfix is willing to agree to an interim stipulation that would allow it to show—but not distribute—these videos and documents to our client on a confidential basis. To be clear, we are proposing this as a compromise. This email should be viewed as a challenge to the designation of these documents under the Stipulated Protective Order.

We are willing to meet and confer today or tomorrow morning regarding these issues, but expect WalkMe to de-designate immediately.

Best regards,
Caroline

Caroline Pohl

Associate
12531 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, CA 92130
T +1 (858) 910-3399

**HARRISON
FOERSTER**

mofo.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2024 2:38 PM
To: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>; Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

External Email

Counsel,

WalkMe does not agree. Whatfix notified WalkMe of its objection to the designation of the SAC and its accompanying exhibits as HCAEO on April 18, 2024. On April 23, 2024, counsel met and conferred about this issue. During that meet and confer, WalkMe explained that we would be filing revised redacted versions of the SAC and accompanying exhibits, which we suggested would likely address some, if not all, of Whatfix's concerns. Whatfix agreed to review the revised redacted versions of the SAC and accompanying exhibits and let us know if Whatfix had any remaining issues with WalkMe's designations. Your email this afternoon is the first we have heard of any remaining concerns with respect to WalkMe's remaining designations.

To be clear, WalkMe has not waived its confidentiality designations with respect to the SAC and accompanying exhibits. Although Whatfix raised a challenge to those designations, the parties agreed that Whatfix would evaluate WalkMe's revised redactions and inform WalkMe if any challenge to those designations remained. Because Whatfix failed to inform WalkMe of

any remaining challenges to WalkMe's remaining designations, Whatfix's challenge is now moot. If Whatfix would like to raise any challenge to WalkMe's remaining designations, please identify which designations Whatfix is challenging so that the parties may meet and confer about those designations as required under the Protective Order.

Best,
Kim

Kim Kennedy
+1 415 582 4782

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

This e-mail message is from Kobre & Kim LLP, a limited liability partnership established under the laws of New York, referred to above as Kobre & Kim, and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your computer without retaining a copy.

From: Pohl, Caroline F. <CPohl@mofo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2024 1:38 PM

To: Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>; Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>; Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>

Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Counsel,

As the time has expired under the Protective Order for WalkMe to defend its confidentiality designation on the SAC, we intend to share the information with our client.

Whatfix notified WalkMe of its objection to the designation of the SAC and its accompanying exhibits as HCAEO on April 18, 2024. (J. Lanham to K. Kennedy (Apr. 18, 2024) ("To avoid any ambiguity, please interpret this email as both an attempt to expeditiously resolve this issue and as a challenge

to WalkMe's confidentiality designation under Paragraph 6 of the interim protective order."").) Under the Court-ordered Protective Order, the Designating Party shall file and serve a motion to retain confidentiality within 21 days of the initial notice of challenge or within 14 days of the parties agreeing that the meet and confer will not resolve their dispute, whichever is earlier. (*Id.* at ¶ 6.3.)

Any "[f]ailure by the Designating Party to make such a motion including the required declaration within 21 days . . . shall automatically waive the confidentiality designation for each challenged designation." (*Id.*)

If you have a different understanding, please let us know by close of business today. Whatfix's reply brief is due Friday and this over-designation has been prejudicial.

Best regards,
Caroline

Caroline Pohl
Associate
12531 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, CA 92130
T +1 (858) 910-3399

**MORRISON
FOERSTER**

mofo.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: Daniel Zaheer <Daniel.Zaheer@kobrekim.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2024 11:23 AM
To: Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>; Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

External Email

John,

WalkMe's HCAEO designations were proper and necessary to protect its trade secrets and other confidential information, including protection from further misappropriation by Whatfix. The majority of WalkMe's Second Amended complaint is not redacted and the unredacted portions of the Second Amended Complaint are sufficient to put Whatfix on notice of WalkMe's allegations and to allow you to develop a response to the Complaint with your client. For example, unredacted ¶¶ 31-44 lay out the specific details of Whatfix's intrusions including which Whatfix employees

conducted the intrusions, the dates of the intrusions, and generally what they accessed in WalkMe's systems. Similarly, unredacted portions of ¶¶ 65-84 of the Second Amended Complaint spell out in detail which Whatfix employees are alleged to have taken advantage of previous access to WalkMe's confidential information and were in a position to share that information with Whatfix. These are the material allegations that Whatfix would need to admit or deny in its answer based upon information from your client. By contrast, the redacted material consists of descriptions of WalkMe's trade secrets. We do not understand why Whatfix would need to consult with individuals inside of the company in order to admit or deny the accuracy of the complaint's descriptions of the trade secrets. Moreover, since you've indicated that you plan to move to dismiss, we do not understand what involvement your client would need in formulating the legal arguments you would make to support your motion.

For context, we remind you that in WalkMe's opposition to Whatfix's prior motion to dismiss, WalkMe pointed out that inclusion of detailed descriptions of WalkMe's trade secrets in the complaint could potentially put the trade secrets at further risk, and that the more appropriate course would be for WalkMe to make its disclosure in the equivalent of a confidential C.C.P. 2019.210 submission. *See, e.g., Autodesk, Inc. v. ZWCAD Software Co.*, 2015 WL 2265479, at *6 (N.D. Cal. May 13, 2015) (describing courts' "general agreement that trade secrets need not be disclosed in detail in a complaint alleging misappropriation for the simple reason that such a requirement would result in public disclosure of the purported trade secrets"). Whatfix's reply brief dismissed this concern, stating that WalkMe could seek to have the complaint sealed. The Court apparently accepted that reasoning, and so we sought to seal the material exactly as Whatfix had suggested. We appreciate that you disagree with WalkMe's trade secret contentions, but the place to litigate those issues is not in a motion to seal (as Whatfix has attempted to do) and it is also not via a dispute over protective order designations.

To be sure, we are open to discussing with you whether particular information in the complaint can be confidentially described to your client in a way that does not improperly divulge trade secrets but allows you to appropriately counsel your client. We would suggest a meet and confer call for us to talk through what particular redacted material you feel is necessary to share with your client, and whether we can come to an agreement on what information can and cannot be shared (under a Confidential designation). We are available to meet and confer on this issue at 2pm PT today.

Regards,
Daniel

Daniel Zaheer
+1 415 582 4751

KOBRE & KIM

www.kobrekim.com

Americas (New York, Delaware, Miami, San Francisco, São Paulo, Washington DC)
APAC (Hong Kong, Seoul, Shanghai), **Caribbean** (BVI, Cayman Islands), **EMEA** (Cyprus, Dubai, London, Tel Aviv)

This e-mail message is from Kobre & Kim LLP, a limited liability partnership established under the laws of New York, referred to above as Kobre & Kim, and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your computer without retaining a copy.

From: Lanham, John R. <JLanham@mofo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2024 8:50 AM
To: Kim Kennedy <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Kim,

We have not heard back from you on this issue, either. Please let us know your availability for a meet and confer this afternoon between 12:00 and 2:30 PT, or tomorrow morning between 9:00 and 12:00 PT.

Thank you,

John Lanham
Morrison & Foerster LLP
12531 High Bluff Drive | San Diego, CA 92130-2040
P: +1 (858) 314.7601 | F: +1 (858) 523.2803
JLanham@mofo.com | www.mofo.com

From: Lanham, John R.
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2024 2:16 PM
To: 'Kim Kennedy' <Kim.Kennedy@kobrekim.com>
Cc: Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit <Mofo-Whatfix-Walkme-Lit@mofo.com>; WalkMe KK <walkmekk@kobrekim.com>
Subject: WalkMe v. Whatfix (N.D. Cal.): Second Amended Complaint designation

Kim,

WalkMe's designation of substantial portions of its Second Amended Complaint and all of the exhibits as HCAEO is limiting our ability to discuss the pleading with our clients, and even to advise them of what they have been accused of doing. Based on our review of the complaint and

information in the public domain, along with WalkMe's allegation that Whatfix has already accessed the designated information, the HCAEO designation is improper.

We ask that WalkMe immediately agree to de-designate the Second Amended Complaint and exhibits to, at most, Confidential under the interim protective order. If there are particular customer identities listed in Exhibit B that WalkMe believes are non-public, we can work with you to leave those identities redacted or designated as HCAEO. In suggesting this intermediate compromise, we want to be clear that we do not agree that this information is confidential, but we are trying to reach a compromise on this issue given the schedule for a response to the complaint.

Given the upcoming response deadline, this is time sensitive. To avoid any ambiguity, please interpret this email as both an attempt to expeditiously resolve this issue and as a challenge to WalkMe's confidentiality designation under Paragraph 6 of the interim protective order. We reserve all rights to seek an extension to the complaint response date if the parties are unable to quickly resolve this issue.

Thank you,

John Lanham

Morrison & Foerster LLP

12531 High Bluff Drive | San Diego, CA 92130-2040

P: +1 (858) 314.7601 | F: +1 (858) 523.2803

JLanham@mofo.com | www.mofo.com

=====
=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.

=====
=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.

=====
=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.

=====

=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.

=====

=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.

=====

=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).

.